

100 Mile House Public Discussion Paper

April 2006

Errata Sheet

Page 18 Table 3

In the original report the descriptions associated with Scenarios 7 and 8 were reversed, below is the correct table.

Table 3. Impact on 2026 merchantable growing stock of only focusing an uplift and not the existing AAC on pine stands.

Scenario	Description/assumptions	Volume of pine salvaged (2006-2015) (million cubic metres)	Merchantable growing stock in 2026 (million cubic metres)
7	partitioned harvest and a harvest level of 1.87 million cubic metres per year for 10 years	11.6	18.4
8	partitioned harvest and harvest levels of 2.6 million and 1.6 million cubic metres annually for successive five-year periods	13.4	17.8

Both scenarios assume a harvest of one million cubic metres per year in the second decade.

Page 21 Bullet 2

In the original report bullet 2 stated 40%.

Below is the corrected bullet at 30%.

2. Considerable thought should be given to the deployment of the existing AAC. Even without an uplift, the salvage of pine and the amount of growing stock to be harvested in the mid-term can vary by in excess of 30%.