

# FRPA Administrative Effectiveness Evaluations - Admin FREP -



# Admin FREP

- ◆ What is it?
- ◆ How will it work?
- ◆ Who will be involved?
- ◆ Why is it being launched now?
- ◆ What is the status of Admin FREP?



# What is Admin FREP?



# What is Admin FREP?

- ✦ A new initiative that will evaluate the overall effectiveness of the *Forest and Range Practices Act* and the FRPA model.




# What is Admin FREP?

- ✦ The current FREP program is focussed on the environmental goals of FRPA
  - ✦ Admin FREP will focus on the social and economic goals of FRPA and the administrative effectiveness of the FRPA model
- 

# What is Admin FREP?

- ◆ Admin FREP will focus on the FRPA goals of:
  - increasing innovation
  - enhancing public acceptance of forest and range management
  - reducing administrative complexity
  - reducing costs to industry
  - continuing to balance social, environmental and economic interests.



# What is Admin FREP?

- ◆ Admin FREP will also evaluate the effectiveness of the FRPA implementation support tools (e.g. FRPA bulletins, PFIT web-site)



# How will Admin FREP Work?



# How will Admin FREP work?

- Link to the current FREP Program -



Administrative  
Evaluations

Resource  
Evaluations


# How Will Admin FREP Work?

- ◆ Admin FREP will work collaboratively with the FREP program
  - to coordinate business processes (e.g. identifying priority evaluation questions, communication of evaluation results)
- ◆ Methodology will be similar to that used for the Cultural Heritage Value (e.g. process indicators)




# How will Admin FREP work?

- ✦ Evaluation and monitoring projects will be conducted to address priority evaluation questions
- ✦ Projects may include:
  - Reviewing databases
  - Conducting surveys
  - Analyzing and pulling together the results of research projects



# How will Admin FREP work?

- ◆ Projects may be conducted:
    - by RTEB independently
    - collaboratively with FREP or other parties (e.g. MOFR, MOTSA, MOE, academic institutions)
    - may draw on the results of existing projects to answer priority evaluation questions
- 

# Who will be Involved with Admin FREP?



# Who will be Involved with Admin FREP?

- ◆ Resources Tenures & Engineering Branch
- ◆ FREP
- ◆ MOFR (Stewardship and Tenures)–  
Districts, Regions, Branches
- ◆ Multiple Ministries
- ◆ Licencees
- ◆ Stakeholders
- ◆ Associations
- ◆ Forest Practices Board

Why is Admin FREP needed  
at this time?



# Why is Admin FREP needed at this time?

- ✦ many questions related to the effectiveness of FRPA to address administrative, social, and economic issues are being raised by many groups including licencees, stakeholders, ministries, districts, regions, branches, Forest Practice Board
- ✦ most licensees have had their first Stewardship Plans approved and are now working in a results based world
- ✦ challenges related to FRPA implementation are fresh in people's minds and there is a 4 year window to address any FSP type concerns


# Why is Admin FREP needed at this time?

- now is the time to be evaluating information management systems to determine what information should be tracked to effectively assess the results based model

- potential to contribute to the regulatory review announced by the Premier in January 2008



# What is the Current Status of Admin FREP?



# What is the Current Status of Admin FREPs?

- ◆ Developing the program
  - Identifying and creating linkages
  - Securing resources
  - Working with the existing FREP program
- ◆ Identifying the potential priority evaluation questions



# What is the Current Status of Admin FREPs?

- ◆ Potential priority evaluation question themes:
  - Innovation under FRPA
  - Effectiveness of public consultation
  - Transactional costs
  - Effectiveness of information management systems
  - Effectiveness of administrative processes
  - Tracking of cumulative impacts
  - Linkages between LRMPs, FSPs, and site plans

# Where to From Here?



# Where to From Here?

