

FREP Continuous Improvement Workshops – Evaluation Comparison

A comparison of key elements from the 2006, 2007 and 2008 FREP Continuous Improvement Session evaluation surveys. Only those survey categories that were consistent between the three workshops are represented in the table below. The totals indicated represent the average ratings out of five, based on the total number of completed surveys for that year.

Rating Scale:

- 1 – very unsatisfied
- 2 – unsatisfied
- 3 – neutral
- 4 – satisfied
- 5 – very satisfied

Survey Category	2006	2007	2008
Number of Participants at Each CI Session	63	90	103
How would you rate the workshop agenda?	4.5	4.2	4.2
How would you rate the workshop materials?	4.4	4.5	4.1
Biodiversity Presentation	4.1	3.9	4.1
Riparian Presentation	4.5	4.1	4.3
FREP IMS Presentation	3.9	4.0	3.9
Quality Assurance Presentation	3.8	3.8	3.9
Training Presentation	4.2	4.4	4.2
Loon Tale Challenge	4.3	4.4	4.7
How would you rate the meeting facilities?	4.7	4.4	4.2
How would you rate the service?	4.6	4.6	4.2
How would you rate the food?	4.7	4.5	3.5
How would you rate the length of breaks?	4.6	4.5	4.2
How would you rate the location (Victoria)?	4.6	4.5	4.4
Did this workshop meet your expectations?	4.5	4.4	4.5
Was the time you invested in participating worthwhile?	4.6	4.5	4.6
How would you rate the meeting overall?	4.5	4.3	4.5